

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
MDAnderson
Cancer Center

Making Cancer History®

hospital de amor





A Multimodal Imaging System for Cervical Pre-Cancer and Cancer Detection

David Brenes, Brady Hunt, Rebecca Richards-Kortum, Kathleen Schmeler, Jose Fregnani, David Levitz

Outline

- 1. Background
 - Cervical cancer incidence and biology
 - Standard of care
 - Proposed scalable care
- 2. Multimodal Imaging System
 - 1. High Resolution Endomicroscopy (HRME)
 - Current algorithm
 - Clinical studies
 - Deep learning
 - 2. MobileODT Colposcope
 - Automated Visual Evaluation Algorithm
 - 3. Integration of MobileODT Colposcope and HRME

Cervical Cancer: A Global Challenge

- 90% of cervical cancer deaths occur in low- and lower-middle- income countries (LMICs) ^[1]
- Early screening and treatment of pre-cancerous lesions can prevent cervical cancer
- 70% of cervical cancers start with Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) infection ^[1]
- In 2018, the World Health Organization announced a global call to action towards the elimination of cervical cancer ^[1]
 - 90% HPV vaccination coverage (age>15)
 - Management of 90% of lesions
 - 70% screening coverage (35, 45)

Estimated age-standardized mortality rates (World) in 2018, cervix uteri, all ages



All rights reserved. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever Data sou on the part of the World Health Organization / International Agency for Research on Cancer concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate borderlines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data source: GLOBOCAN 2018 Graph production: IARC (http://gco.iarc.fr/today) World Health Organization World Health
 Organization
 International Agency for
 Research on Cancer 2018

Early Stage Detection – Cervical Pre-cancer

Cervical pre-cancer is called cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN)



Challenges in Standard of Care for LMICs



Challenges in Standard of Care for LMICs



Proposed Workflow for LMICs

A single practitioner can perform all the necessary steps to screen, diagnose, and treat a patient at the point-of-care



High Resolution Microendoscopy (HRME)



HRME Instrumentation

- Fiber optic probe is used to image lesions
- Nuclei are stained with proflavine dye





[3] Yang, Eric C., et al. Journal of biomedical optics 24.2 (2019): 025003.

HRME Computer Vision based Algorithm (CVBA) ^[4]

- 1. Segment the fiber ROI
- 2. Saturated and dim regions are found and excluded
- 3. Nuclear segmentation is performed using Otsu's method
- 4. Feature Extraction
 - Nuclear Area
 - Nuclear Eccentricity
- 5. Nuclei are classified as normal or abnormal based on thresholds on these features
- 6. HRME Score = # Abnormal Nuclei/Area
- 7. HRME+ >= 120 and HRME- <120

HRME Negative



HRME Positive



HRME Clinical Studies

1. Hospital de Amor Barretos, São Paulo, Brazil

Other Studies:

- 2. El Salvador
- 3. Mozambique (ongoing)



Clinical Trails in Brazil

- Conducted 2 studies in Barretos, Brazil
- Each patient undergoes colposcopy, HRME, biopsy, histopathology

	Clinical Studies – Brazil, Barretos	
	UH2	CLARA
Number of Patients	144	1486
Number of Sites	229	1901
% of CIN2+ Patients	37 %	28 %
Sensitivity (CVBA)	92 %	92 %
Specificity (CVBA)	48 %	60 %
AUC Per Patient (CVBA)	0.87	0.83

- Per patient score = worst image score for that patient

Challenges

- Subtle class variations (<CIN2, CIN2+)</p>
- Difficult to ensure perfect correlation between the biopsy and the HRME image
- Small dataset
- Class imbalance (70% <CIN2, 30% CIN2+)</p>





Deep Learning for HRME

Use modern computational methods to further improve the performance of HRME for cervical pre-cancer prediction

UH2 5-Fold Cross Validation Benchmark Study

Model	Ground Truth Training Label	Aggregate Per Patient AUC Validation	
AlexNet	Histopathology	0.804	
ResNet18	Histopathology	0.800	

	UH2 – HRME Algorithm
Number of Patients	144
Number of Sites	229
% of CIN2+ Patients	37 %
Sensitivity	92 %
Specificity	48 %
AUC Per Patient (CVBA)	0.87

HRME images

Histopathology



UH2 5-Fold Cross Validation Benchmark Study

Model	Ground Truth Training Label	Aggregate Per Patient AUC Validation
AlexNet	Histopathology	0.804
ResNet18	Histopathology	0.800
AlexNet	HRME Prediction	0.885
Resnet	HRME Prediction	0.876

	UH2 – HRME Algorithm
Number of Patients	144
Number of Sites	229
% of CIN2+ Patients	37 %
Sensitivity	92 %
Specificity	48 %
AUC Per Patient (CVBA)	0.87



UH2 5-Fold Cross Validation Benchmark Study

Model	Ground Truth Training Label	Aggregate Per Patient AUC Validation
AlexNet	Histopathology	0.804
ResNet18	Histopathology	0.800
AlexNet	HRME Prediction	0.885
Resnet	HRME Prediction	0.876
AlexNet-Quadrants	Histopathology	0.870
ResNet18-Quadrants	Histopathology	0.855
HRME images	Image split into quadrants)

	UH2 – HRME Algorithm
Number of Patients	144
Number of Sites	229
% of CIN2+ Patients	37 %
Sensitivity	92 %
Specificity	48 %
AUC Per Patient (CVBA)	0.87



Takeaways Benchmark Study



Training on image quadrants rather than whole images improves performance

Training on HRME prediction provides a stronger supervision signal than training directly on histopathology

NextGuide the neural network during training to leverage similarStepfeatures to the CVBA

Multi-Task: Segmentation & Classification [5] Y-Net architecture developed by Sachin Mehta et al. 1. Nuclei Image Quadrants Segmentation **Residual Dilatated Convolution Block** Spatial Pyramid Pooling Block **Fully Connected Layers** 2. Diagnostic Average Pooling Classification Bilinear Up-sampling **Skip Connection** <CIN2 CIN2+ Encode-Decoder **HRME** Images

[5] Mehta, Sachin, et al. MICCAI, Springer, Cham, 2018.

Stage 1: Self-supervised Segmentation

- Handmade segmentations are expensive for HRME images
- Self-supervision:
 - Use traditional computer vision segmentation techniques to generate segmentation and weight masks
- Use this proxy mask to train the network



Stage 2: Diagnostic Branch

Diagnostic Ground Truth: Histopathology



UH2 Cross Validation Results

Y-Net Performance

- 1. Outperformed all other tested classification architectures
- 2. Outperformed the current HRME algorithm (traditional computer vision)
- 3. Had lowest standard deviation across validation folds



Guided Backpropagation

• Relative to our 2nd best performing model trained on histopathology, Y-Net prevents neuron activations originating from non-nuclear pixels



CIN2+

Future Work: Incorporating CLARA Data

	Clinical Studies – Barretos, Brazil		
	UH2	CLARA	UH2+Clara
Number of Patients	144	1486	1630
Number of Sites	229	1901	2130
% of CIN2+ Patients	37 %	28 %	29%



MobileODT Colposcope System

MobileODT Colposcope **Magnification Optics** Smartphone Holder Smartphone based, low-cost colposcope **Features** • White light Images • Green filtered Images LED Web-portal Automate Visual Evaluation

Automated Visual Evaluation Algorithm (AVE)

MobileODT and NCI trained an AVE on digital colposcopy images [6]

- 7,587 images from 3,221 patients
- Colposcopy impression as ground truth
- AUC > 0.9

Faster R-CNN built on a VGG-16 backbone

- 1. Detects the cervix
- 2. Extracts diagnostic features
- 3. Predicts lesion or no lesion
- 4. Returns class activation map



[7]

Multimodal System Integration



EVA System and HRME Sequential Integration



Future Work: Beyond Sequential Integration

Integrating complementary information from both imaging modalities

- 1. Acquiring a larger dataset of patients with both EVA colposcopy image and HRME images
- 2. Exploring transfer learning from colposcopy images from other colposcopes to the AVE
- 3. Developing deep learning architectures to simultaneously learn from colposcopy and HRME images to improve diagnostic performance

Acknowledgements

Dr. Rebecca Richards-Kortum Dr. Kathleen Schmeler Dr. Jose Humberto Fregnani Dr. David Levitz Dr. Richard Baraniuk

RRK Lab Members: Dr. Richard Schwarz Brady Hunt Dr. Sonia Parra Jackson Coole Ruchika Mitbander Melody Tan Imran Vohra Dr. Yubo Tang Alex Kortum DSP Lab: CJ Barberan

MobileODT: Yael Misrahi Funding: National Cancer Institute National Institutes for Health UH2CA189910, UH3CA189910

BCH Collaborators: Julio Cesar Possati-Resende Marcio Antoniazzi Bruno de Oliveira Fonseca Graziela de Macedo Matsushita Cristovam Scapulatempo-Neto Gisele Rocha Ligia Kerr Naitielle Pantano Suelen Tesoni Livia Loami Ruyz Jorge de Paula Priscilla da Souza Silva Karen Nap Viviane Andrade



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

Making Cancer History®



